

Published on Web 11/06/2009

Using Cocrystals To Systematically Modulate Aqueous Solubility and Melting Behavior of an Anticancer Drug

Christer B. Aakeröy,* Safiyyah Forbes, and John Desper

Department of Chemistry, Kansas State University, 211 CBC Building, Manhattan, Kansas 66506

Received September 10, 2009; E-mail: aakeroy@ksu.edu

During the development and formulation of any active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) that is to be delivered in a solid form, a wide range of stringent performance parameters (e.g., solubility, dissolution rate, thermal stability, etc.) needs to be carefully considered.¹ It is thus not surprising that poor biopharmaceutical properties (as opposed to toxicity or lack of efficacy)² are the main reason that less than 1% of active compounds eventually make it into the marketplace.³ Solubility remains a key issue,⁴ and a number of approaches for addressing this problem have been pursued, such as micronization,⁵ the use of salt forms,⁶ and solubilization in cosolvents⁷ and micellar solutions.^{8–10} Although these techniques can be effective, there is a need for a broader range of solid forms from which to choose a particular API in order to optimize physicochemical properties without tampering with the molecule itself.

Recent advances in crystal engineering¹¹ have enabled the design of cocrystals in which two or more molecular compounds are incorporated within the same crystalline lattices in specific stoichiometric amounts.12 Cocrystal synthesis does not involve making/ breaking of covalent bonds, and it may therefore be possible to fine-tune physical properties by exercising precise control over the supramolecular assembly, since the crystal structure determines the resulting physical properties of the compound. For example, the melting points of even-numbered aliphatic dicarboxylic acids decrease monotonically with increasing number of methylene groups in the chain; the structural consistency among the five compounds shown in Figure 1 translates to a predictable relationship between molecular structure and physical behavior. The melting points of odd-numbered diacids also decrease with an increase in the number of methylene groups, but they have significantly lower melting points than their even-numbered analogues as a result of significant differences in crystal structure/packing.¹³

Against this background, we hypothesized that if we can incorporate an API within a series of crystalline solids characterized by considerable structural consistency, we may be able to finetune melting points and aqueous solubility. Changes to the physical properties could be achieved by varying the cocrystallizing agents in a systematic fashion without altering the precise nature of the molecular recognition events that drive the supramolecular assembly.

Herein we present a systematic structure—property study of a series of cocrystals of hexamethylenebisacetamide **A**, a compound that is capable of inhibiting the proliferation of lung cancer cells and is also being used in the treatment of myelodysplastic syndrome and resistant acute myelogenous leukemia.¹⁴ Our strategy was to synthesize infinite API····diacid····API····diacid chains using the well-known COOH····py hydrogen-bond-based synthon,¹⁵ and these chains were subsequently going to be arranged into two-dimensional (2D) layers as a result of API-based self-complementary amide····amide hydrogen bonds.¹⁶ All of the diacids used in this study are generally regarded as safe by the FDA. The main objectives of this study were (i) to determine whether we could synthesize a series of



Figure 1. Target API and dicarboxylic acids.

Table 1.	Comparison	omparison of Structural Data				
	A1	A2	A3	A 4	A5	
a (Å)	5.1754(4)	5.1412(4)	5.1392(5)	5.1506(4)	5.1519(4)	
b (Å)	10.9294(8)	5.2394(4)	5.2412(5)	5.2481(4)	5.2778(5)	
c (Å)	10.9423(8)	21.396(2)	23.145(2)	24.521(2)	26.148(3)	
α (deg)	118.826(4)	95.094(5)	94.050(3)	89.647(4)	86.023(6)	
β (deg)	91.381(5)	95.603(4)	95.222(2)	87.252(4)	89.386(6)	
γ (deg)	99.872(4)	91.076(5)	91.277(2)	88.505(5)	88.496(6)	
V (Å ³	530.11(7)	571.10(8)	619.0(1)	661.82(8)	709.0(1)	



Figure 2. 2D sheet in the structure of A1 generated through $O-H\cdots N$ and $N-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds.

cocrystals with the desired structural consistency; (ii) to establish how well the melting point of the cocrystal can be correlated with the nature of the cocrystallizing agent; and (iii) to establish how well the solubility can be correlated with the nature of the cocrystallizing agent.

Cocrystallization reactions between A and 1-5 were carried out, and IR spectroscopy was used to screen all of the resulting solids for cocrystal formation. We were also able to grow crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction of all products (see the Supporting Information). A summary of the unit cell parameters for the crystal structures of A1-A5 is given in Table 1. As shown by the structural parameters, the five cocrystals are isostructural, and the increase in unit cell volume is a reflection of an increase in the size of the cocrystallizing agent. Because of the close structural similarities, only two structures are shown.

In A1 (the 1:1 cocrystal of A and 1), a primary O–H···N interaction between the pyridyl moiety and the carboxylic acid was observed $[O31 \cdots N11, 2.7094(19) \text{ Å}]$, resulting in 1D chains that in turn are organized into layers via interchain N–H···O hydrogen bonds $[N17 \cdots O17, 2.9902(19) \text{ Å}]$ (Figure 2). Similar structures were obtained with longer-chain acids, as shown by A4, in which an O–H···N hydrogen bond between the pyridyl moiety and the carboxylic acid $[O31 \cdots N11, 2.6690(11) \text{ Å}]$ was observed. The crystal



Figure 3. 2D sheet in A4 generated through O-H····N and N-H····O hydrogen bonds.



Figure 4. Melting points for A1-A5 plotted vs the melting points for the corresponding diacids 1–5. The equation for the fitted line is y = 0.72x + 0.72x54. The inset shows the melting points of 1-5 as a function of carbon chain length.



Figure 5. Aqueous equilibrium solubilities of A and A1-A5.

structure also contains 2D layers generated via self-complementary amide ··· amide N-H··· O hydrogen bonds [N17··· O17, 3.0127(14) Å) (Figure 3).

Having achieved the required structural consistency, we subsequently examined whether the thermal behavior of these cocrystals could be correlated with any molecular feature of the five cocrystallizing agents (Figure 4). The data clearly show that the melting points of these five crystalline solids are directly related to the melting points in the dicarboxylic acids. The highest-melting cocrystal contains the dicarboxylic acid with the highest melting point, and the lowest-melting acid produces the lowest melting cocrystal; this demonstrates that the melting behavior of the five solid forms of this API can be modulated in a predictable manner over a considerable range (148-188 °C; the melting point of the API itself is 181-182 °C).

Although thermal properties are an important issue, solubility is a key factor, so we also determined the aqueous equilibrium solubilities of A1-A5 (Figure 5). The results show that the aqueous solubility of A can in fact be improved by a factor of 2.5 without altering the molecular structure of the API itself. Although the solubilities of the five cocrystals of the API did not produce a linear correlation as did the melting points, the trend in physicochemical properties of the cocrystals can certainly be rationalized in terms of the aqueous solubilities of the dicarboxylic acids. The cocrystals of the longer-chain diacids, which are less polar and more hydrophobic in nature, show decreased aqueous solubilities relative to that of the API itself. Even though a decrease in solubility is normally not desired within the pharmaceutical industry, a decreased solubility is preferred in some applications of specialty chemicals.

Although it is obvious that not every cocrystal will deliver an improvement in physicochemical properties relative to that of the active ingredient, we have shown that systematic changes to the molecular nature of the cocrystallizing agent combined with control over the way that the individual building blocks are organized within the crystalline lattice makes it possible to establish predictable links between molecular structure and macroscopic physical properties. In this context, cocrystals may therefore offer unique opportunities for developing new solid forms in which a variety of desired physical properties can be tuned in a predictable manner.

Acknowledgment. This work was financially supported by ACS-PRF (46011-AC1), the Center for Basic Cancer Research, the National Center for Research Resources (P20 RR015563), a component of the NIH, and the State of Kansas.

Supporting Information Available: Detailed procedures for synthesis and solubility studies along with crystallographic data for A1-A5 (CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) (a) Kerns, E. H.; Di, L. Drug-like Properties: Concepts, Structure Design and Methods: from ADME to Toxicity Optimization; Elsevier: Amsterdam, **2008**, pp 7–9. (b) Basavoju, S.; Boström, D.; Velaga, S. P. *Pharm. Res.* **2008**, 25, 530.
- (2) Lipper, R. B. Mod. Drug Discovery 1999, 2, 55.
- (3) (a) Pharmaceutical Industry Profile 2006; PhRMA: Washington, DC, 2006. (b) Mass Spectrometry in Drug Metabolism and Pharmacokinetics; (4) Blagden, N.; de Matas, M.; Gavan, P. T.; York, P. Adv. Drug Delivery
- Rev. 2007, 59, 617.
- Chaumeil, J. C. Exp. Clin. Pharmacol. 1998, 20, 211.
- Agharkar, S.; Lindenbaum, S.; Higuchi, T. J. Pharm. Sci. 1976, 65, 747. Aim, K.; Dannenfelser, R.-M.; Zielinski, J.; Wang, B. J. Pharm. Sci. 2004, (7)
- 93, 2244
- (8) Torchillin, V. P. Pharm. Res. 2007, 24, 1.
 (9) Rajewski, R. A.; Stella, V. J. J. Pharm. Sci. 1996, 85, 1142.
- (10) Humberstone, A. J.; Charman, W. N. Adv. Drug Delivery Rev. 1997, 25, 103
- (11) (a) Goldberg, I. CrystEngComm 2008, 10, 637. (b) Aakeröy, C. B.; Salmon, D. J. CrystEngComm 2005, 7, 439. (c) Banerjee, R.; Saha, B. K.; Desiraju, G. CrystEngComm 2006, 8, 680. (d) Saha, B. K.; Nangia, A.; Jaskólski, M. CrystEngComm 2005, 7, 355. (e) Aakeröy, C. B. Acta Crystallogr. 1997, B53, 569. (f) Braga, D.; Brammer, L.; Champness, N. R. CrystEngComm **2005**, 7, 1. (g) Aakeröy, C. B.; Desper, J.; Fasulo, M.; Hussain, I.; Levin, B.; Schultheiss, N. *CrystEngComm* **2008**, *10*, 1816.
- (12) (a) Childs, S. L.; Chyall, L. J.; Dunlap, J. T.; Smolenskaya, V. N.; Stahly, B. C.; Stahly, P. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 11335. (b) Jayasankar, D. C., Statily, S. L.; Bethune, S. J.; Rodríguez-Hornedo, N. Cryst. Growth Des. 2009, 9, 889. (c) Trask, A. V.; Motherwell, W. D. S.; Jones, W. Int. J. Pharm. 2006, 320, 114.
- (13) (a) Thalladi, V. R.; Nüsse, M.; Boese, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 9227. (b) Vishweshwar, P.; Nangia, A.; Lynch, V. M. Cryst. Growth Des. 2003, 3, 783. (c) Morrison, J. D.; Robertson, J. M. J. Chem. Soc. 1949, 2, 980. (d) Verweel, H. J.; MacGillavry, C. H. Nature 1938, 142, 161.
- (14) (a) Andreeff, M.; Stone, R.; Michaeli, J.; Young, C. W.; Tong, W. P.; Sogoloff, H.; Ervin, T.; Kufe, D.; Rifkind, R. A.; Marks, P. A. Blood **1992**, 80, 2604. (b) Callery, S. P.; Egorin, M. J.; Geelhaar, L. A.; Nayar, B. S. Cancer Res. 1986, 46, 4900. (c) Siegel, D. S.; Zhang, X.; Feinman, R.;
 Teitz, T.; Zelenetz, A.; Richon, V. M.; Rifkind, R. A.; Marks, P. A.;
 Michaeli, J. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1998, 95, 162.
 (15) (a) Shan, N.; Zaworotko, M. J. Drug Discovery Today 2008, 11, 440. (b)
- (a) Shah, N., Zawolowi, M. J. Ding Discouting Total 2000, 11, 473, (b) Aakeröy, C. B.; Beatty, A. M.; Helfrich, B. A.; Nieuwenhuyzen, M. Cryst, Growth Des. 2003, 3, 159. (c) Aakeröy, C. B.; Desper, J.; Helfrich, B. A. CrystEngComm 2004, 6, 19. (d) Aakeröy, C. B.; Desper, J.; Urbina, J. F. Cryst. Growth Des. 2005, 5, 1283.
- (16) Lauher, J. W.; Fowler, F. W.; Goroff, N. S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1215

JA907674C